### REMARKS

By the present amendment, claims 1 to 9 and 18 to 27 are pending in the application. Claim 1 is the only independent claim.

#### Restriction Requirement

Method claims 10 to 17 were withdrawn from consideration due to the restriction requirement as being non-elected claims.

By the present amendment, non-elected claims 10 to 17 have been canceled without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application directed to the subject matter of claims 10 to 17.

### **Claim Amendments**

By the present amendment, claims 1 to 9 and 18 to 27 have been amended to claim a "crude oil tank" per se. Thus, in amended claims 1 to 9 and 18 to 27 a "crude oil tank" is no longer merely a statement of intended use.

The Office Action stated at page 4, paragraph 9 that the prior art does not teach using the steel for a crude oil tank ... [but] using the steel for a crude oil tank is merely applicants' future and intended use.

Therefore, by the present amendment, claims 1 to 9 and 18 to 27 have been amended to specifically claim a "crude oil tank".

## §102/§103

Claims 1 to 5, 7 to 9, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25 and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,993,570 to Gray; Japan No. 10-17929; Japan No. 58-107476; or Japan No. 2001-288512.

Claims 6, 19, 20, 23 and 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,993,570 to Gray; Japan No. 10-17929; Japan No. 58-107476; or Japan No. 2001-288512 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,162,389 to Hase.

These rejections, as applied to the amended claims, are respectfully traversed.

### **The Present Invention**

The present invention provides a crude oil tank, with the crude oil tank fabricated from a steel for a welded structure to be used for a crude oil tank. The steel exhibits excellent local corrosion resistance in the environment of the floor plate of a crude oil tank and a decreased rate of formation of a corrosion product containing solid sulfur in a gas phase at the reverse side of the upper deck plate of a crude oil tank.

The present inventors found that the concentration of rock salt brine, which varies in accordance with the oil field and the depth of an oil well from which the crude oil came, is as high as roughly 1 to 60 mass % in terms of an NaCl-reduced concentration.

Further, the present inventors found that when a steel plate is exposed to such a high-concentration brine, or a high-concentration of an aqueous solution of halogen, the steel plate surface becomes uneven because of the sediment of corrosion products, sludge, ash and the like. The sites where the base steel dissolves selectively are quickly formed and fixed, and local corrosion develops from these sites.

Sludge is caused by solid sulfur which precipitates as a result of a reaction of hydrogen sulfide and oxygen in a gas phase in a crude oil tank, with iron rust on the surface working as a catalyst.

The present invention targets to enhance corrosion resistance, or resistance to general corrosion, in the environment in question by not adding Cr, but by adding Cu and Mo in combination by respectively defined amounts and limiting the amount of P and S, which

are impurity elements, on the basis of the chemical composition of a for a welded structure, as shown in Figures 1 to 4 of the present application.

#### **Patentability**

The technology disclosed in <u>USP 5,993,570</u> ( '570 patent) relates to a high strength line pipe and structural steel that is resistant to attack in even the most severe sour gas or wet sour gas service. The '570 patent targets to provide a high strength steel having a very low Mn content, yet which is resistant to sour gas (H2S) degradation and hydrogen-induced cracking (HIS) in the sour gas environment such as NACE TM0284 as disclosed.

However, corrosion resistance caused in the environment of the present invention is quite different from the corrosion caused in the environment of '570 patent. This means that the steel mentioned in '570 patent cannot be used for corrosion in a crude oil tank. The '570 patent does not disclose or suggest the characteristic feature of the present invention and corrosion phenomena present in a crude oil tank.

Although the Mo contents described in Examples of '570 patent are 0.011%, 0.23% and 0.24%, there is no disclosure or suggestion about the small amount of Mo as shown in Fig. 1 decreasing the speed of local corrosion as disclosed in the present invention.

Therefore, the technology disclosed in '570 patent is quite different from the present invention.

The technology disclosed in <u>JP 58-107476</u> ('476 patent) relates to a high tensile steel excellent in sulfide stress corrosion cracking resistance. The '476 patent also targets to provide a high strength steel having resistance to sour gas (H2S) degradation and hydrogen-induced cracking (HIS) in the sour gas environment. This means that the steel disclosed in '476 patent cannot be used for corrosion resistance in a crude oil tank. The '476 patent does not disclose or suggest the characteristic feature of the present invention and

corrosion phenomena present in a crude oil tank. Although the Mo content described in '476 patent is 0.14% to 0.15% for increasing tensile strength, there is no disclosure or suggestion about the small amount of Mo as shown in Fig. 1 decreasing the speed of local corrosion as disclosed in the present invention.

Therefore, the technology disclosed in '476 patent is quite different from the present invention.

The technology disclosed in <u>JP 10-17929</u> ('929 patent) relates to a production of thick 600N class steel excellent in weldability and toughness in center part of the plate thickness. However, the '929 patent only targets to increase weldability and toughness by the addition of Cu, Mo and W and there is no disclosure or suggestion about corrosion resistance including HIC. Further, there is no test data regarding corrosion resistance in the '929 patent.

In the '929 patent, there is no disclosure or suggestion about the small amount of Mo as shown in Fig. 1 decreasing the speed of local corrosion as disclosed in the present invention.

Therefore, the technology disclosed in the '929 patent is quite different from the present invention.

The technology disclosed in <u>JP 2001-288512</u> ('512 patent) relates to a method of producing high tensile strength steel excellent in toughness and ductility. However, the '512 patent only targets to increase toughness and ductility by the addition of Cu, Mo and W and contains no disclosure or suggestion about corrosion resistance including HIC. Further, there is no test data regarding corrosion resistance in the '512 patent. In the '512 patent, there is no disclosure or suggestion about the small amount of Mo as shown in Fig. 1 decreasing the speed of local corrosion as disclosed in the present invention.

Therefore, the technology disclosed in the '512 patent is quite different from the present invention.

The technology disclosed in <u>USP 6,162,389</u> ('389 patent) relates to a non heat treated high strength, toughness and machinability steel, so-called SCM435 or SCM440, used in an as-worked state. In the '389 patent, Cu is added for precipitation hardening and cuttability, Mo is added for enhancing hardening and strength, and W is added for solid-solution hardening and precipitation hardening. In the '389 patent, there is no disclosure or suggestion about corrosion resistance including HIC, and there is no test data regarding corrosion resistance. In '389 patent, there is no disclosure or suggestion about the small amount of Mo as shown in Fig. 1 decreasing the speed of local corrosion as disclosed in the present invention.

Therefore, the technology disclosed in the '512 patent is quite different from the present invention.

It is therefore submitted that independent claim 1, and all claims dependent thereon, are patentable over US '570, JP '476, JP '729, or JP '512, standing alone, or in combination with US '389.

# **CONCLUSION**

It is submitted that in view of the present amendment and foregoing remarks, the application is now in condition for allowance. It is therefore respectfully requested that the application, as amended, be allowed and passed for issue.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

John I Kelly Ir

Reg. No. 29,182

Dated: February 12, 2008

KENYON & KENYON One Broadway New York, NY 10004 Telephone No. (212) 425-7200 Facsimile No. (212) 425-5288 CUSTOMER NO. 26646